

App. F. IX-4

Previous References
L.R. 143-09 (1-6)
" 507-13 (1-5412)
L.R. 187-36 (1-4)
L.R. 380-24 (1-2)
Letter References

1936.
(Laur)

File No. 187736
Serial Nos. 5-8.

(28)

PRESERVED

GENERAL AND REVENUE SECRETARIAT.

Serial Nos. Four only.

Part B for

January 1939.

SUBJECT.

Payment of one third share to Mr. P. Nagaraj Rao out of the surplus revenue of Maddikayam Channabally Village, Krishnarajpet Taluk.

Sl 8) To The Revenue Commissioner
No. P. 3932 of 24.1.29.

States that Mr. P. Nagaraj Rao's claim of $\frac{1}{3}$ of the surplus amount may be recognised as that he may be paid his share.

W.D. 0296-GPB-22,500-18-8-37
Nagaraj Rao

Serial
No.

5

From

The Rev: Commr.

Letter no. C 1759/37-38, dated 27th Dec: 37

Forward copies of letters from the D.C., Mysore requesting to be informed whether the share due to Mr. P. Nagaraja Rao may be paid to him for the year 1936-37 and onwards, as he has attained majority, and solicits instructions in the matter.

1) Kind reference is invited to the letter (copy) of the D.C., Mysore (Flag X) in which he has ^{stated} that $\frac{1}{3}$ share of the surplus amount of Madavanakodi Village for 1935-36 has been paid to Mr. P. Nagaraja Rao as per G.O. dated 26th July '37 (Flag V). P. Nagaraja Rao is reported to have ~~petitioned~~ petitioned the D.C. for payment of his ~~share~~ share of surplus revenue. The D.C. requests whether the same may be paid to him for 1936-37 and onwards as he has attained majority.

Submitted for order. 2) In examination of the copy in d. of 27/128 on the full of the Mysore is necessary. Please write to the Rev. Commr to get ~~order~~ same from Mr. P. Nagaraj Rao.



NOTE—Contn. Sheet.

WD 0284—GPB—30,000—13-9-37

Sur A
27-1-38

3) Draft to Rev: Commr put up.

BMR
26.14) Done
BMR
27.1

Dated no. P. 4425, 27-1-38

✓ Payment of $\frac{1}{3}$ share of Mr P. Nagarajappa
out of the surplus revenue of
Madavanakodi village

File No.
Law

187

3849V5131
of 1936.

General and Revenue
Secretariat

From
The Rev Commr

Letter No C1759/37-8 & 2-3-38
forwards copy of the decree
passed in O.S 68/27-28 on the
file of the Sub-Judge. Mysore.

5) Reference - paras 1-2 *Supra*.

24
12/38

6) Some amount of confusion
is caused by different allegations in the
several petitions. Some petitions refer to
the surplus revenue of Madavanakodi
village while some others refer to
surplus revenue of Maddikayache-
manahalli. It is not clear which
of these villages is under Govt manage-
ment or to which village — the
surplus revenue refers. It is also
to be seen from the decree copy and
the napiname petition in the Gov. case,
that there is no reference to
Maddikayache manahalli. In these
circumstances it is not also clear
under what right the share in
the surplus revenue of this village
claimed by petitioner Nagarajappa

Payment of $\frac{1}{3}$ share of the surplus revenue
of Madavanakodip Village to Mr
P. Nagaraja Rao

File No.
Law

187

of 1936.

[App. F. III-2]

Serial
No.

may be asked to obtain necessary information
on these points.

BDAR
5/4/38

3) Subd. with a draft for approval.

6/4

9) 2/11/38
BDAR
6/4

To

The Rev. Commr.

No. P. 5633, dt 11-4-38

Calls for some additional information.

From

The Rev. Commr.

Letter no. C. 1757/37-38, dt 15.9.38

Forwards a ~~copy~~ letter from the D.C. Mysore
furnishing the information called for.

Refer paras 6 and 7 supra.

10) The Rev. Commr. has forwarded a letter
from the D.C. Mysore (Flag Q) in which
the required information is furnished. The
same is submitted for perusal and
orders.

28/9/38
BDAR

11) The question for consideration
is whether Mr Nagaraj Rao is

Sec A
11-4-38

payment of $\frac{1}{3}$ share of the surplus revenue
of the Madavankudi Village to Mr P. Nagaraja Rao

File No.
Law

187

of 1936.

General and Rev
Secretariat

entitled to $\frac{1}{3}$ share in the surplus revenue
of Massikayachanahalli. From
the report of the Deputy Commissioner
it is seen that the Inamdars of
Devambra Agrahar have, by agreement,
set apart the revenues of Massika-
yachanahalli to meet their share
of the cost of annual repairs of
Hemagiri Aicut and its channel.
The surplus revenue of that village
(which is under Govt management
since 1867) after meeting such costs,
is being distributed every year
among the Inamdars of Devambra
Agrahar in proportion to the number
of Vrittis they hold. Mr P. Naga-
raj Rao is admittedly entitled to $\frac{1}{3}$
share in Vrittis no. 8 and $\frac{3}{8}$ of Vritti
no. 15 of Madavankudi village -
(see Decree Court Flap T) one of
the ^{inam} villages of Devambra Agrahar.
He is consequently entitled to $\frac{1}{3}$ share
of the surplus due to Vrittis no. 8 and
3 of Vritti no. 15 of Madavana-

Flap C

Serial
No.

12) It is contended by Mr. Nagarajadas' uncle Mr. Madhavadas that the decree under which the former's right to $\frac{1}{3}$ share in the above Vritti was determined does not specifically mention about the Surplus of Massi Kayacha Manahalli and that Nagarajadas is not therefore entitled to the amount. There does not seem ~~to be~~ much force in this contention. This item might not have been specifically included for the reason that it was a massika village for the Inamdar of Devanur Agrahara, who has a share in or in proportion to their Vrittis in other Inam villages, and that by virtue of their holding the Vrittis in other villages, they would be each automatically entitled to a proportionate share of the Surplus revenue. It is also significant that subsequent to the date of the decree in O.S. 68 of 27-28 Nagarajadas' uncle have admitted his right to Surplus income of Massi Kayacha Manahalli. (See indemnity bond executed by Madhavadas on 3.2.30) Mr. Madhavadas is thus estopped from questioning Mr. Nagarajadas' right.

Payment of $\frac{1}{3}$ share of the Surplus Revenue
of the Madavanakodi Village to Mr. P. Nagaraja Rao

File No.
Law

187

of 1936.

General and Revenue
Secretariat

Serial
No.

Mr. Nagarajadas' claim to $\frac{1}{3}$ share of the
surplus amount has been conceded and
the amount ordered to be disbursed
to him.

Doal Secy)

BKR
11.11.38

14) Seven villages belong to Devamba Ayobha
landowners in Kusthmaniput Taluk, & one
of the villages Naddikayachamaraballi which
is common to all the Kithidans has been
left in joint management in order to
meet the maintenance of the ament.
& channel. The surplus after meeting
his expenditure is divisible among the
Kithidans & is being paid to them
by the joint.

15) The late Nagaraja Rao & his
two uncles own certain Kithis.

OS. 68727.28 on the file the Sub Judge
Mysore was a partition suit between
Nagaraja Rao & his uncles, & Nagaraja
got a decree for his mother's share &
the Kithis belonging to his family.
It follows therefore that Nagaraja Rao
is entitled to $\frac{1}{3}$ share in the common.

Payment of $\frac{1}{3}$ share of the surplus revenue of Maddikayachan
halli village, Krishnarajpet Taluk to Mr. P. Nagaraja Rao

General and Revenue
Secretariat

File No.

of 193

[App. F. III-2]

Serial
No.

yachamanahalli & he should therefore
get a third share in the surplus due from
the Govt to their family.

16) Subsequent to the deed, there was
a rarinama between these parties in
Sx case 117/25, 30. It is contended by
Nagaraja Rao's uncle Madhava Rao that
in view of the Rarinama Nagaraja Rao
has no right to a share in the surplus amount.
This contention is not tenable. The rarinama
has nothing to do with this surplus amount.
The uncle released their shares in two other items
for a consideration of Rs 200 & Nagaraja Rao
agreed that the uncle may collect the former's
(Sivaramaiah's) share amounts till Rs 200 is realised. Madhava Rao
has himself admitted in an affidavit filed
recently by him on 3.2.1930 that Nagaraja
Rao has $\frac{1}{3}$ share in the surplus.

17) In these circumstances, Nagaraja Rao may be
paid his $\frac{1}{3}$ share of the surplus.

18 November

66

17/11/39

BKR
17

18) 20/11/39

6 20/11

BKR
20

OFFICE OF THE
SECRETARY OF COUNCIL

JAN 18 1939

No. 5694

Payment of $\frac{1}{3}$ share to Mr. P. Nagaraja Rao.

185

File No.
Law

187

of 1936.

General and Revenue
Secretariat

19) A draft to the Rev: Commr is put up
for approval.

23/1
20/1

20) Issued
BKR
23.1

Issued no. P 3932, dt 24-1-39

No.

C.1759/37-38

OFFICE OF THE REVENUE COMMISSIONER
IN MYSORE.

Dated Bangalore, 22nd December 1937

FROM

K.V. Anantaraman. Esq. B.A.,
Revenue Commissioner in Mysore.

To M. Venkatesa Iyengar. Esq. M.A.,
General ~~148~~ Secretary to Government
of His Highness the Maharaja of Mysore,
Bangalore.

SIR,

With reference to Government order No. P.475 Law.187-36-4 dated 16th July 1937, authorising the payment of one third share due to Mr. P. Nagaraja Rao out of the surplus revenue of Madavanakodi village, Trishnarajpet taluk, for the year 1935-36, I have the honour to forward herewith copies of letters No. F.1769/37-38 dated 15th October 1937 and 17th November 1937, from the Deputy Commissioner, Mysore District, request to be informed whether the share due to Mr. P. Nagaraja Rao may be paid to him for the year 1936-37 and onwards, as he has attained majority. I solicit instructions of Government in the matter.

The records received from the Deputy Commissioner accompany.

I have the honour to be,
Sir,
Your most obedient servant,

Revenue Commissioner.

and.

Letter No.F.C.1769/37-38 dated 27th November 1937, from the Deputy Commissioner, Mysore District, to the Revenue Commissioner in Mysore.

With reference to your No.C.1759/37-38 dated 12-11-1937, calling for a report regarding the alleged excess share of Mr.P.Nagaraja Rao in the surplus revenue of Madavanahkodi village, a hamlet of Maddikachamanahalli, Krishnarajpet taluk, I have the honour to state as follows:-

2. Mr.P.Nagaraja Rao in his notice No.382 dated 10th March 1937, to the Chief Secretary to Government has stated that he owns 1/3rd share in the village (Flag "T"),. He has also mentioned in his petition dated 2nd April 1937, to this Office, that he is entitled to the 1/3rd share of the village (Flag "N"). The Public Prosecutor Mysore, who was consulted in the matter has stated in his letter No 1224/dated 24th March 1937, that the copy of Rajinama does not refer to the surplus revenue of this village but however he is opinion that Mr.P.Nagaraja Rao is entitled to the 1/3rd share of the surplus revenue (Flag P).

3. Kind reference ~~is~~ is invited to G.O.No.P.475/Law/ 187-c6-4 dated 26th July 1937, in the matter of payment of 1/3rd share to Mr.P.Nagaraja Rao, for the year 1935-36.

4. The vernacular records accompany.

Letter No.F.1769/37-38 dated 15th October 1937, from the Deputy Commissioner, Mysore District, to the Revenue Commissioner in Mysore.

I have the honour to state that the Gnam Maddikachamanahalli in Krishnarajpet taluk, is under Government management and the surplus revenue of this village is being distributed among the several Vriti in proportion to the Vrithis they hold. V.Madhava Rao and M.V.Arishnamurthy are brothers and P.Nagaraja Rao is their nephew. They are the joint Vrithidars of Vrithi No.8 and 3/8 of Vrithi No.15 of Maduven a hamlet of Meddikachamanahalli. V.Madhava Rao was receiving the share of the surplus amount as his brother M.V.Arishnamurthy had authorised him to receive the latter's share and as guardian of his

nephew P.Nagaraja Rao who was a minor then.

2. The Government in their order No.P. 475/Law-187-36-4 dated 26th July 1937, authorised the payment of one-third share due to P.Nagaraja Rao for the year 1935-36 and the same was paid to him and the balance ~~of~~ to V.Madhava Rao, P.Nagaraja Rao has petitioned to this Office for the payment of his share of surplus revenue, I request you to kindly intimate whether the share due to P.Nagaraja Rao may be paid to him for the year 1936-37 and onwards as he has attained the majority.
3. The petition of P.Nagaraja Rao and the vernacular records are herein enclosed for reference and return.

Copies.

M. N. Bramahmuni :

For Revenue Commissioner.

GOVERNMENT OF HIS HIGHNESS THE MAHARAJA OF MYSORE

General and Revenue Secretariat

No. *P4623-Law-187-86-* Dated, Bangalore, 27th January 1938

From

The General Secretary to the Government of His Highness the Maharaja of Mysore.

To

The Revenue Commissioner in Mysore,
Bangalore.

Subject: - Payment of 1/3 share of Mr.P.Nagaraja
Rao out of the surplus revenue of
Madavanakodi village, Krishnarajpet Taluk.

Sir,

With reference to your letter No.C.1759/37-38 dated 22nd December 1937 on the above subject, I am directed to state that an examination of the copy of the decree passed in G.S.No.68/27-28 on the file of the Sub-ordinate Judge's Court, Mysore, is necessary and to request you to get the same from Mr.P.Nagaraja Rao and forward it to this office at an early date.

I have the honor to be,
Sir,
Your most obedient servant,

Howe

For General Secretary to Government

SUBJECT

Section Law

File No. 187-36

Serial No. —

Diary No. of letter replied to.....

No. P 4425

To

The Rev. Commr.

General and Revenue Secretariat

()
the 193

Subject :- Payment of $\frac{1}{3}$ share
of Mr. P. Nagaraja Rao out of
the surplus revenue of Madalavankur
Village, Krishnarajpet Taluk

Date of issue..... 27.1.38

Sir,

Signed by Mr. BKR

With reference to your letter
No. C. 1759/37-38, dated 22nd Dec,
1937 on the above subject, I
am directed to state that
~~request~~ state that an
examination of the copy of the
decree passed in O. S. No.
68/27-28 on the file of the
Sub-ordinate Judge's Court,
Mysore is necessary, and
to request you to get the

List of enclosures.....

Copied by

Examined by.....

Same from Mr. P. Nagaraja Rao
and forward it to this office
at an early date.

I have etc.

MR

For. Genl Secy.

Routine Notes.

To be used only for notes, requisitions, etc., not intended for permanent record.]

No

C.1759/37-38

513/23
P237 (7)
OFFICE OF THE REVENUE COMMISSIONER
IN MYSORE,

1st March

Dated Bangalore, ... 1938

-2 MAR 1938

Law 187-2

FROM

K.V. Anantaraman.Esq.B. A.,
Revenue Commissioner in Mysore.

GENERAL SECRETARY,
3 MAR. 1938

To

The Secretary to the Government of
His Highness the Maharaja of Mysore,
General Department, Bangalore

SIR,

Payment of 3rd share to Mr.P.Nagaraja Rao out of
the surplus revenue of Madavanakodi, village,
Krishnarajpet taluk.

0. As desired in your letter No.P. 4425/Law-187-36-dated
27th January 1938, I have the honour to forward herewith
the copy of the decree passed in O.S.No.68/27-28 on the
file of the Subordinate Judge's Court, Mysore, since received
from the Deputy Commissioner, Mysore District.

I have the honour to be,
Sir,
Your most obedient servant,

K. Anantaraman
Revenue Commissioner.

G.R.

SUBJECT

Diary No. of letter replied to.....

General and Revenue Secretariat

()
the 193 .

Date of issue 4/4/38

Signed by Mr. B. K. R.

List of enclosures.....

Copied by *WLR 2/4/38*

Examined by.....

Section Law

File No. 187-36

Serial No. 6

No. p 5633 nos. 5.

To

The Rev. Commr.

Subject:- Payment of $\frac{1}{3}$ share
to Mr P. Nagaraja Rao out of
the Surplus Revenue of Madavan-
kodi Village, Krishnarajpet taluk

Sir,

With reference to your letter
no. C 1759/37-38, dated 1st March '38
on the above Subject, I am
directed to state that some
amount of confusion is caused
by different allegations in the
petitions, ^{in so far as} ~~envelope that~~ some petition
refer to the Surplus Revenue of
Madavanakodi ^{Village}, while some other
refer to the Surplus of
Maddikayachamanahalli, from

which ^{income} It is not clear which of
these Villages is under Govt Management
or to which Village the Surplus
Revenue in question refers. I am
to ~~state~~ ^{add} that ^{even in} the Copy of the decree
and the Raginama petition in the Execution

~~case~~, no reference has been made
^{to} about Maddikayachamanahalli. In
these circumstances, it is not clear
under what right a share in
the Surplus Revenue of this Village
is claimed by the petitioner Mr. Nagaraja Rao.
I am to request you to obtain and ^{from and on} send
necessary information on these points.

The entire records on
the Subject have been sent
herewith which may kindly be
returned along with the reply.

I have etc!

BMR
For Genl Secy,
B

16

GOVERNMENT OF HIS HIGHNESS THE MAHARAJA OF MYSORE

General and Revenue Secretariat

Bangalore, Dated, 9th April 1938.

No. P 5633/Law 187-26-6
Encls. 5.

From

The General Secretary to the Government of His Highness
the Maharaja of Mysore.

To

The Revenue Commissioner in Mysore,
Bangalore.


Sir,

Subject:- Payment of 1/3 share to Mr. P. Nagaraja Rao out of
the surplus revenue of Madavanakodi village,
Krishnarajpet Taluk.

With reference to your letter No.C.1759/37-38, dated 1st March 1938 on the above subject, I am directed to state that some amount of confusion is caused by different allegations in the petitions, in so far as some petitions refer to the surplus revenue of Madvanakodi village while some others refer to the surplus of Maddikayachamanahalli. It is not therefore clear which of these village is under Government management or to which village the surplus revenue in question refers. I am to add that even in the copy of the decree and the ragnama petition in the Execution case no reference has been made to Maddikayachamanahalli. In these circumstances it is not clear under what right a share in the surplus revenue of this village is claimed by the petitioner Mr. Nagaraja Rao. I am to request you to obtain and forward the necessary information on these points.

The entire records on the subject have been sent herewith which may kindly be returned along with the reply.

I have the honour to be,
Sir,
Your most obedient servant,



For General Secretary to Government.

mk.



185725
OFFICE OF THE REVENUE COMMISSIONER
IN MYSORE.

hoo files
felm dms.
Dated Bangalore, ... 15th September 1938

FROM

K.V. Anantaraman.Esq.B.A.,
Revenue Commissioner in Mysore.

16 SEP 1938

Law 187-36-7

TO

GENERAL SECRETARY
16 SEP. 1938

The General Secretary to the Government of
His Highness the Maharaja of Mysore,
Bangalore.

920
Payment of one third share to Mr.P.Nagaraja Rao
out of the surplus revenue of Maddikayachamanahalli
village, Krishnarajpet taluk.

With reference to your letter No.P.5633/Law.187-36-6
dated 11th April 1938, calling for certain particulars on the
above subject, I have the honour to forward herewith a copy
of letter No.F.C.1769/37-38 dated 9th September 1938, from
the Deputy Commissioner, Mysore furnishing the required in-
formation.

The connected records accompany.

I have the honour to be,
Sir,
Your most obedient servant,

K. M. Narasimhaiah
Assistant Commissioner,
For Revenue Commissioner,
Absent from the station.

2nd. 547
OFFICE OF THE DEPUTY COMMISSIONER,
MYSORE DISTRICT.

No. F.C.1769/37-38.

Encl. 3 Files.

Dated, Mysore, 9th September.... 1938.

FROM

M.G. MEHKRI, ESQ., B.A., LL.B.,
~~DEPUTY~~ DEPUTY COMMISSIONER,
Mysore District, Mysore.

TO

K.V. ANANTARAMAN, ESQ., B.A.,
Revenue Commissioner in Mysore,
B A N G A L O R E.

SIR,

Subject:- Payment of 3rd share to Mr.P.Nagaraja-
Rao, out of the surplus revenue of Maddikayachamana-
halli village, Krishnarajpet Taluk.

Adverting to your No. C. 1759/37-38 dated 4th May 1938 enquiring the exact name of the village to which the surplus -- revenue in question refers as well as the right under which a share in the surplus revenue of the village is claimed by Mr.P. Nagaraja Rao, I have the honour to state as follows:-

2. The marginally noted seven villages in Krishnarajpet --

1. Bandihole. | Taluk belong to Devamba Agrahar Inamdars. The
2. Thernahalli. |
3. Kurnahalli. | Inamdars by agreement set apart the revenue of
4. Mellahalli. |
5. Yachanahalli. | the Maddikayachamanahalli village to meet ---
6. Madavanakodi. |
7. Maddikayachamanahalli. | their share of the cost of the annual ---

repairs of Hemagiri Anicut and its channel running in their villages
Owing to the complaint of the ryots that the anicut and channel were not properly maintained, Government took over both the management of the Yachamanahalli village and the maintenance of the anicut and -- channel in 1867 and this arrangement is continued .(Vide para 2 of Government Order No.R.4706-9 L.R. 143-09-6 dated 16-2-1911). The Government in their order No. R. 2754-7 L.R. 506-13-12 dated 12th September 1917 have stated that the existing liability of the ---- Inamdars for the proper maintenance of the anicut and the channel will remain unaffected (vide para 11 of the order). The Government in their Order No. R.6531-34 L.R. 380-28-2 dated 8/9th February 1929 authorised the Deputy Commissioner to make payments out of the --- accumulated balance of Maddikayachamanahalli village credited to the

Estate Accounts of the Inamdars. The surplus revenue of this village viz., Maddikayachamanahalli after meeting the cost of the anicut and the channel is being distributed every year -- among the Inamdars of Devamba Agrahar in proportion to the -- Vrithies they hold. Mr. P. Nagaraja Rao and his two uncles are said to be joint owners of Vrithi No. 8 and 3/8 of Vrithi No.15 of Maduvanakodi village, --One of the villages of Devamba Agrahar. He therefore claims 1/3rd share in the surplus revenue of the Maddikayachamanahalli village. The connected records are returned herewith.

I have the honour to be,
Sir,
Your most obedient servant,


For Deputy Commissioner,
Mysore District.

CNV-2.

Submitted.


The Connected file is
before the Asst Secy This
is Submitted in Continuation.

OKR

~~JB~~

5/11

2850 238



To

The Chief Secretary

to the Government of Mysore,

Bangalore.

Recd part



Respected Sir,

Ref: Notice NO. 432/24-9-37.

I beg to invite your kind reference regarding the payment of surplus amount due to me in respect of Vritties in Maddikayachamanahalli Village in the Krisnharajapet Taluk and I request you to kindly pass early orders in the case.

In this connection, I may be permitted to invite your kind reference to the Indemnity Bond executed on 3rd February 1930 during my minority by my uncle Mr. V. Madhava-Rao in favour of the Deputy Commissioner, Mysore District, wherein he has admitted my right for the surplus amount. He has not paid till now either to me or to my mother my share amount drawn by him during my minority by executing the above Indemnity Bond; nor has he furnished any accounts.

A copy of the above Indemnity Bond is herein enclosed for kind perusal. The original Bond has been enclosed in the connected records sent to your Office by the Deputy Commissioner, Mysore District.

Awaiting your Honour's early favourable orders.

I beg to remain,
Respected Sir,
Your most obedient servant,

Mysore

Dated: 3-11-38

P. S. S. S. S.

Door No. 396 Mota Motti Street -
Sewamba Aghar
Mysore

(PS) v. Madhava Rao

3-2-30 } (S.S.) H. Schawa Roo
Must. Clerk

The city House Burewing
cooperative Society

efote me.

(Ed) R. sama dyengar.

P.A. to Deputy Commis
oner

3.2.38.

Copy applied on 3.9.38

Copy ready on 3.9.38

Copy delivered on 3.9.38

No. of words 228+13.

Copying fees 0-6-6-

~~Composing fees~~ 0-1-6
0-8-

Copied by H. Krishnappa

Compared by.

c.

Copy 11.

Ed. B. Channappa

District Inspector

Deputy Commissioners office,

Mysore.

SUBJECT.....

.....

.....

Diary No. of letter replied to

General and Revenue Secretariat

()
the 193 .

Date of issue..... 24/1/39

Signed by..... Mr. BKR

List of enclosures.....

Copied by.....

Examined by.....

Section Law

File No. 187-36

Serial No. 8

No. P 3932 / Rev. Records

To the Rev. Commr.

Subject: - Payment of one third share to Mr. P. Nagaraja Rao out of the Surplus Revenue of Maddikayachanahalli Village, Krishnarajpet taluk.

Sir,

With reference to the correspondence ending with your letter No. C. 1759/37-38, dated 15th Sept. 1938 on the above Subject, I am directed to state that ⁱⁿ the circumstances ^{of the} case pointed out, Mr. P. Nagaraja Rao's claim has to be to $\frac{1}{3}$ share of the Surplus amount

maybe recognized
~~was~~ to be conceded and that
he ^{may} be paid his $\frac{1}{3}$ share of
the surplus Revenue.

The entire records received
are herewith returned.

I have etc.

(OKR)

For Addl. See
SB

22

GOVERNMENT OF HIS HIGHNESS THE MAHARAJA OF MYSORE

General and Revenue Secretariat

No. SP3932 Law 187-26-8
Gene. Records

Dated, Bangalore, 23rd January 1939.

24/1

From

Additional
The ~~General~~ Secretary to the Government of His Highness
the Maharaja of Mysore

To

The Revenue Commissioner in Mysore,
B a n g a l o r e.

Sir,

Subject.- Payment of one third share to Mr. P. Nagaraja Rao out of the surplus revenue of Maadikayachanahalli village, Krishnarajpet Taluk.

With reference to the correspondence ending with your letter No. G.1759/37-38 dated 15th September 1938 on the above subject, I am directed to state that in the circumstances of the case, Mr. P. Nagaraja Rao's claim to 1/3 share of the surplus amount may be recognised and that he may be paid his 1/3 share of the surplus revenue.

The entire records received are herewith returned.

I have the honor to be,

Sir,

Your most obedient servant,



For Additional Secretary to

